

### DOLPHIN HYDRODYNAMICS GRAY'S PARADOX REVISITED

Peter W. Carpenter University of Warwick, U.K.

3rd International Symp. on Ultrasonic Doppler Methods for Fluid Mechanics & Fluid Engineering EPFL, Switzerland 9-11 September, 2002



## GRAY'S (1936) PARADOX

#### STUDIES IN ANIMAL LOCOMOTION

VI. THE PROPULSIVE POWERS OF THE DOLPHIN

#### By J. GRAY

(Sub-Department of Experimental Zoology, Cambridge)

(Received August 10, 1935)

#### (With Three Text-figures)

It is well known that certain aquatic vertebrates (notably dolphins and some of the larger teleostean fishes) are able to travel at surprisingly high speeds. The movements performed by such animals during rectilinear locomotion are all of the same confort the such animals during rectilinear locomotion are all of the same

- -

#### SUMMARY

 If the resistance of an actively swimming dolphin is equal to that of a rigid model towed at the same speed, the muscles must be capable of generating energy at a rate at least seven times greater than that of other types of mammalian muscle.

2. Observation of the flow of particles past the surface of mode's similar in form to a fish or dolphin shows that rhythmical movements, such as are characteristic of the body and caudal fin of the living animals, exert an accelerating effect on the surrounding water in the direction of the posterior end of the model. An effect of this type may be expected to prevent turbulence in the flow of wate, past the body.

 If the flow of water past the body of a dolphin is free from turbulence, the horse-power developed per pound of muscle agrees closely with that of other types of mammalian muscle.

#### REFERENCES

DILL, D. B., EDWARDS, H. T. and TALBOT, J. H. (1932). J. Physicl. "7, 49.
EWALD, P. P., PÖSCHL, T. and PRANDTL, L. (1930). The Physics of Solids and Fluids (English trans.). London.
London.
GRAY, J. (1933). J. exp. Biol. 10, 88.
HENDERSON, Y. and HACARD, H. W. (1925). Amer. J. Physici. 72, 264.
HOUSSAY, F. (191\*). Forme, Puissance et Stabilité des Poissons. Paris.
KROCH, A. (1934). Nature, Lond., 133, 635.
RICHARDSON, E. G. (1936). J. exp. Biol. 13, 63.

### **GRAY'S PARADOX**

Following contemporary naval engineering practice Gray (1936) modelled the dolphin body as a flat plate to estimate drag assuming transition occurred at

 $Re_x = 2 \times 10^6$ 

### **POWER = DRAG x SWIMMING SPEED**

He found that to swim at 10 m/s the specific muscle power output required was

7 x mammalian norm ( of 40 W/kg)

#### **POWER OUTPUT OF MUSCLES**

Muscle performance depends on type of fibre

• Slow oxidative fibres

Mainly aerobic metabolism

Slow sustained activity

Relatively slow contraction rates

• Fast glycolic fibres

Mainly anaerobic metabolism

- Short-burst activity
- High power output
- Very high intrinsic contraction speeds
- Power output is 2 to 17 times that of slow fibres

Dolphin muscle has both types of fibre Sustained aerobic output = **40 W/kg** (Parry 1949) Short-duration anaerobic output = **110 W/kg** (Weis-Fogh & Alexander 1977)

#### IMPROVED ESTIMATE OF TRANSITIONAL REYNOLDS NUMBER

Gray's approach reasonably sound except for value assumed for transitional Re.

#### **PHYSICS OF TRANSITION**



#### **Tollmien-Schlichting waves in natural transition**



Schubauer & Skramstadt (1947)

#### Effect of streamwise pressure gradient on growth of T/S waves



Schubauer & Skramstadt (1947)

#### Streamwise pressure distribution along dolphin body



From Aleev (1977)

$$Re_t = 0.5 \times Re_L$$

i.e. about 10,000,000 for a2 m long dolphin swimming at10 m/s

#### **DRAG COEFFICIENTS: DOLPHINS & RELATED BODIES**



Fig. 7 of Babenko & Carpenter (2002)

1, dolphin  $C_D$  due to Kayan (1979) theory; 2, dolphin  $C_D$  during braking 3. During inertial swimming; 4, computed  $C_D$  for equivalent rigid body 5, dead dolphin; 6, model with artificial dolphin skin; 7,8,9 rigid flat plate with laminar, transitional & turbulent flow; 11, 12 Kramer's experiments.

#### New estimates of swimming speed in m/s

Case	C <sub>D</sub>	Sustained Power output (40W/kg)	Maximum 110 W/kg
Re <sub>t</sub> = 2 ×10 <sup>6</sup> Gray	0.0025	5.6	7.9
Re <sub>t</sub> = 13.75×10 <sup>6</sup>	0.0015	6.6	9.3
Laminar	0.00025	12.08	16.9
Gliding dolphin	0.0023	6.5	9.0

#### DATA FOR SUSTAINED SWIMMING SPEED



Figure 6. Total distribution of swimming speeds (m/s) obtained from five airplane passes over a school of long-beaked, common dolphins (*Delphinus capensis*); total number of observations equals 1044 (from Rohr et al., 1998b)

Most reliable aircraft-based observations of a school of common dolphins.

#### MOST EARLIER OBSERVATIONS WERE FROM SHIPS





EXPLOITING SHIP'S PRESSURE FIELD

Focke (1965)

# At high speed the mode of swimming comprises alternate leaps and submerged swimming



Assuming exit angle of 30 degrees & minimum feasible leap height of 0.5 m, equating potential & kinetic energy gives 3.6 m/s as onset speed for 'porpoisIng'. This is consistent with observation.

### **DOLPHIN'S NEED FOR LAMINAR-FLOW CONTROL**

- Most scientists have followed Gray and focussed on maximum sustained swimming speed.
- Laminar flow needed to reach 10 m/s but not to reach commonly observed speeds. In any case the 'porpoising' swimming mode is used at high speeds
- Laminar-flow control more likely required for conserving energy during: *Slower long-duration swimming*

Deep diving

#### **ENERGY-EFFICIENT DEEP DIVING**



Red: gliding; Black: powered swimming

Figs. From Williams et al. (2000) Science 288, 133-136

#### DIRECT EVIDENCE OF LAMINAR FLOW OVER DOLPHIN BODY

1) Hot-film & pressure-sensor measurements Kozlov et al. (1974), Kozlov & Shakalo, Pyatetskii et al. (1982), Romanenko (1986)

Overall conclusion is that fluctuation level in boundary layer over dolphin is much lower than comparable rigid body.

2) Bioluminescence

Much anecdotal evidence. For hard evidence see

Rohr *et al.* (1998) *J. Exp. Biol.* **201**, 1127-60. Herring (1998) *Nature* **393**, 731-732.

#### **DOLPHINS & BIOLUMINESCENCE**



#### From Rohr et al. (1998)

### AN ARTIST'S IMPRESSION Escher (1924)



#### **STRUCTURE OF DOLPHIN'S EPIDERMIS**



Figs. (a): Longitudinal cross-section; (b) horizontal section through AA'; (c); Lateral cross-section.

Key: *a*, cutaneous ridges (or microscales); *b*, dermal papillae; *c*, dermal ridge; *d*, upper epidermal layer; *e*, fatty tissue.

From Carpenter et al. (2000) Current Science 79,758-765

#### TWO FEATURES OF HYDRODYNAMIC SIGNIFICANCE

- Dermal ridges
   These run in streamwise direction
   cf shark scales and riblets
- 2) *Cutaneous ridges* These run normal to flow direction.

#### **SHARK SCALES & RIBLETS**



Lateral spacing between ridges on shark scales is ca. 50  $\mu$ m. Riblets are only effective for turbulent flow.

#### **DERMAL RIDGES**

#### Lateral spacing is ca. 10-15 mm. Therefore not adapted as riblets for turbulent flow.



### **CUTANEOUS RIDGES**

#### Aligned normal to the streamwise direction



Fig from Ridgway & Carder (1993) IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. 12, 83-88

### **CUTANEOUS RIDGES** Ridgway & Carder(1993)



#### HYDRODYNAMIC FUNCTION OF CUTANEOUS RIDGES

Oblique Tollmien-Schlichting waves grow fastest over compliant walls

Numerical simulation of Ali & Carpenter (2002) show that their growth rate is much reduced when cutaneous ridges are present

#### Growth rate vs spanwise wave number Flow conditions corresponding to dolphin



#### **ARTIFICIAL ANALOGUE DOLPHIN SKINS**

Most well-known example is Kramer's (1957, 1960) compliant coating



#### **KRAMER COMPLIANT COATINGS**





Up to 60 % drag reduction at 18 m/s

Laminar-flow properties confirmed theoretically & experimentally by Carpenter & Garrad (1985, 1986), Gaster (1987) and Lucey & Carpenter (1995)

C

b

a



#### **STRUCTURE OF DOLPHIN'S EPIDERMIS**



The angle of inclination of the dermal papillae vary over the body from 10 to 65 degrees relative to surface

Babenko & Surkina (1969)

Figs. (a): Longitudinal cross-section; (b) horizontal section through AA';

(c); Lateral cross-section.

Key: *a*, cutaneous ridges (or microscales); *b*, dermal papillae; *c*, dermal ridge; *d*, upper epidermal layer; *e*, fatty tissue.

From Carpenter et al. (2000) Current Science 79,758-765

#### **GROSSKREUTZ (1971) ANALOGUE DOLPHIN SKIN**





Theoretical modelling due to Carpenter & Morris (1990) *JFM* **218**,171-223

#### SURFACE WAVE SPEEDS OF OPTIMIZED COMPLIANT COATINGS

Design methodology developed by Carpenter & Morris (1990) *JFM* **218**, 171 Dixon, Lucey & Carpenter (1994) *AIAA J* **32**, 256 and others indicate that for compliant coatings optimized for transition delay

Surface-wave speed = 0.7 x Flow speed

Surface-wave speed can be measured on a dolphin and compared with above result.

#### MEASUREMENT OF SURFACE-WAVE SPEED ON DOLPHIN

#### Madigosky et al. (1986) JASA 79, 153-159



#### Locations of wave-speed measurements

Grey circles: 6-7 m/s; Open circles: no measurement implies dolphin skin optimized for ca. 9 m/s



#### **SKIN-FOLDING – HYDROELASTIC INSTABILITY**



#### Essapian (1955) Breviora Mus. Comp. Zool. 43, 1-4

#### **Divergence hydroelastic instability on Kramer coating** Puryear (1962)



#### DRAG COEFFICIENTS vs Re<sub>L</sub>

Note all analogue & real dolphin curves exhibit a minimum implying a critical onset speed



### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Dolphins have adequate muscle power for observed sustained swimming speeds.
- Laminar flow is needed to swim at speeds greater than 7 m/s and may be advantageous for conserving energy during deep diving and at lower swimming speeds.
- 3. There is direct and indirect evidence of a laminarflow capability.
- 4. But multi-facetted & multidisciplinary problem with many unanswered questions.

#### DOES THE DOLPHIN HAVE A SECRET?

